Case 2:21-cv-03985 (F)/1 Poor $\frac{1}{2}$ Figure $\frac{1}{2}$ Page 1 of 28

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS						
NICOLE KLEIN				WILLS EYE HOSPITAL						
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff CAPE MAY				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant PHILADELPHIA						
(E)	XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CA	SES)		(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED						
	Address, and Telephone Number	r)		Attorneys (If Known	1)					
Lane J. Schiff , E	•	Ct t Otl- F	-1							
	ci Law LLC, 1525 Lc \ 19102 215-545-767	,	-100r #							
II. BASIS OF JURISD			III. CI	FIZENSHIP OF I		CIPAL				
1 US Government Plaintiff	x 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government)	Not a Party)	Citize	_) PTF	DEF 1 I	incorporated or Print of Business In Th		PTF 4	DEF 4
2 U S Government Defendant	4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenshi	ip of Parties in Item III)	Citize	en of Another State	2	_ 2 I	incorporated <i>and</i> Pr of Business In A		5	5
				en or Subject of a [reign Country	3	3 I	Foreign Nation		<u> </u>	<u> </u>
IV. NATURE OF SUIT					Clic		or: Nature of Si			
CONTRACT		RTS	_	RFEITURE/PENALTY			RUPTCY		STATUT	
110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel &	PERSONAL INJURY 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical		5 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 0 Other		423 Withda 28 US	SC 157 Y RIGHTS	375 False C 376 Qui Ta 3729(a 400 State R 410 Antitru	m (31 USo i)) Leapportion ist	C nment
& Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans)	330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product	Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability				New I 840 Trader	- Abbreviated Orug Application mark		erce ation eer Influe t Organiza	nced and
153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits	Liability 350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle	PERSONAL PROPERS 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending		LABOR 0 Fair Labor Standards Act		880 Defend Act of	d Trade Secrets 2016	480 Consus (15 US 485 Teleph	SC 1681 or	r 1692)
190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability	Product Liability 360 Other Personal	380 Other Personal Property Damage	72	0 Labor/Management Relations		SOCIAL 861 HIA (1	SECURITY 1395ff)	Protec 490 Cable/	tion Act Sat TV	
196 Franchise	Injury 362 Personal Injury -	385 Property Damage Product Liability		0 Railway Labor Act 1 Family and Medical	H	862 Black	Lung (923) C/DIWW (405(g))	850 Securit	ies/Comm	odities/
	Medical Malpractice			Leave Act		864 SSID 7	Title XVI	890 Other :	Statutory A	
REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation	440 Other Civil Rights	PRISONER PETITION Habeas Corpus:		0 Other Labor Litigation 1 Employee Retirement		865 RSI (4	105(g))	891 Agricu 893 Enviro		
220 Foreclosure	441 Voting	463 Alien Detainee	- H"	Income Security Act		FEDERAL	TAX SUITS	895 Freedo		
230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	× 442 Employment	510 Motions to Vacate	:	-			(US Plaintiff	Act		
240 Torts to Land	443 Housing/ Accommodations	Sentence 530 General			Ь	or Def 871 IRS—	fendant)	896 Arbitra 899 Admin		rocoduro
240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	445 Amer w/Disabilities -	535 Death Penalty		IMMIGRATION			SC 7609	Act/Re	view or A	ppeal of
	Employment 446 Amer w/Disabilities -	Other: 540 Mandamus & Othe		2 Naturalization Application 5 Other Immigration	on			950 Consti		
	Other 448 Education	550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of		Actions				State S	tatutes	
V ORIGIN (Place on "Y" is	n One Box Only)	Confinement								
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in One Box Only) X 1 Original										
	42 U.S.C. §2000e. et se	tute under which you ar eq. ("Title VII"); 43 P.S. §		Do not cite jurisdictional st	tatutes					
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	Brief description of ca	use: on against her former em	ployer for	sex discrimination, retali	iation,	and hostile	e work environme	ent.		
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P.			•	EMAND \$ cess of \$75,000			ECK YES only i	f demanded in	complai	
VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY (See instructions):										
	JUDGE									
DATE SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD September 7, 2021										
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		\sim								
RECEIPT # AM	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE			MAG JUD	GE		

Case 2:21-cv-03985-KSIMED SPOCHMONTRIC FIRMURS/07/21 Page 2 of 28 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

DESIGNATION FORM

(to be used by counsel or pro se plaintiff to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to the appropriate calendar)

Address of Plaintiff:	Avalon, NJ			
Address of Defendant: Philadelphia, PA 19107				
	n:			
The of Medicin, medicin of Transaction				
RELATED CASE, IF ANY:				
Case Number:	Judge:	Date Terminated:		
Civil cases are deemed related when Yes is an	swered to any of the following questions:			
Is this case related to property included in previously terminated action in this cour.	n an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year t?	Yes No 🗸		
Does this case involve the same issue of pending or within one year previously te	fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit rminated action in this court?	Yes No 🗸		
	ringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier ar previously terminated action of this court?	Yes No 🗸		
4. Is this case a second or successive habea case filed by the same individual?	s corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights	Yes No 🗸		
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within cast this court except as noted above.	se is / is not related to any case now pending o	or within one year previously terminated action in		
DATE: 9/7/21	LuO	314179		
	Attor ev-at-Law / Pro Se Plaintiff	Attorney I.D. # (if applicable)		
CIVIL: (Place a √ in one category only)				
A. Federal Question Cases:	B. Diversity Jurisdiction	n Cases:		
 Indemnity Contract, Marine Contra FELA Jones Act-Personal Injury Antitrust Patent Labor-Management Relations 	2. Airplane Person 3. Assault, Defan 4. Marine Person 5. Motor Vehicle	mation		
7. Civil Rights 8. Habeas Corpus 9. Securities Act(s) Cases 10. Social Security Review Cases 11. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify)	7. Products Liab 8. Products Liab 9. All other Dive (Please specify)	oility Oility – Asbestos		
	ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION he effect of this certification is to remove the case from eligibili	ity for arbitration.)		
I,Lane J. Schiff, Esquire	, counsel of record or pro se plaintiff, do hereby certify:			
Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, § exceed the sum of \$150,000.00 exc	(3 (c) (2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the dusive of interest and costs:	e damages recoverable in this civil action case		
Relief other than monetary damage	s is sought.			
{DATE:} 9/7/21	$\mathcal{A}{u}()$	314179		
	Attorney-at-Law / Pro Se Plaintiff	Attorney I.D. # (if applicable)		

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

CIVIL ACTION

<u> Fel</u> ephone	FAX Number	E-Mail Address		
(215) 545-7676	(215) 565-2859	schiff@consolelaw.com		
Date	Attorney-at-law	Attorney for		
September 7, 2021	Lun	Plaintiff, Nicole Klein		
(f) Standard Management Cases that do not fall into any one of the other tracks.				
commonly referred to a	Cases that do not fall into trac s complex and that need speci side of this form for a detailed	al or intense management by	()	
(d) Asbestos Cases involving claims for personal injury or property damage from exposure to asbestos.				
(c) Arbitration Cases required to be designated for arbitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2.			()	
(b) Social Security – Cases requesting review of a decision of the Secretary of Health and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits.				
(a) Habeas Corpus – Cases	brought under 28 U.S.C. § 22	241 through § 2255.	()	
SELECT ONE OF THE F	OLLOWING CASE MANA	GEMENT TRACKS:		
plaintiff shall complete a Ca filing the complaint and serve side of this form.) In the designation, that defendant the plaintiff and all other pa	ase Management Track Designer a copy on all defendants. (Someont that a defendant does a shall, with its first appearance	Reduction Plan of this court, counse nation Form in all civil cases at the time § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the renot agree with the plaintiff regarding e, submit to the clerk of court and ser ack Designation Form specifying the ned.	me of verse said ve on	
WIDDO D12 HOUTHIE	DEFENDANT.	NO.		
v. WILLS EYE HOSPITAL	PLAINTIFF, :			

(Civ. 660) 10/02

NICOLE KLEIN

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

NICOLE KLEIN :

Avalon, NJ 08202 : CIVIL ACTION NO.

Plaintiff,

:

v. : JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

:

WILLS EYE HOSPITAL 840 Walnut Street Philadelphia, PA 19107

:

Defendant.

COMPLAINT

I. INTRODUCTION

Plaintiff, Nicole Klein, brings this action against her former employer, Wills Eye Hospital, as a result of the invidious sex discrimination, retaliation, and hostile work environment to which she was subjected, culminating in the termination of her employment. Defendant's discriminatory and retaliatory conduct violated Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended, 42 U.S.C. §2000e, *et seq.* ("Title VII"), the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act, as amended, 43 P.S. §951, *et seq.* ("PHRA"), and the Philadelphia Fair Practices Ordinance, as amended, Phila. Code §9-1101, *et seq.* ("PFPO"). Plaintiff seeks all damages available at law, including economic loss, compensatory damages, punitive damages, attorneys' fees and costs, and all other relief this Court deems appropriate.

II. PARTIES

- 1. Plaintiff, Nicole Klein, is a female individual, residing in Avalon, New Jersey.
- 2. Defendant, Wills Eye Hospital ("Defendant") is a hospital, maintaining a place of business located 840 Walnut Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19107.

- 3. At all times material hereto, Defendant acted by and through its authorized agents, servants, workmen, and/or employees acting within the course and scope of their employment with Defendant and in furtherance of Defendant's business.
- 4. At all times material hereto, Defendant acted as an employer of Plaintiff within the meaning of the statutes which form the basis of this matter.
- 5. At all times material hereto, Plaintiff was an employee of Defendant within the meaning of the statutes which form the basis of this matter.

III. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 6. The causes of action that form the basis of this matter arise under Title VII, the PHRA, and the PFPO.
- 7. The District Court has jurisdiction over Count I (Title VII) pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1331.
- 8. The District Court has supplemental jurisdiction over Count II (PHRA) and Count III (PFPO) pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1367.
- 9. Venue is proper in the District Court under 28 U.S.C. §1391 because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred within this District.
- 10. On or about April 14, 2020, Plaintiff filed a Complaint with the Pennsylvania Human Relations Commission ("PHRC"), complaining of the acts of discrimination, retaliation, and harassment alleged herein. This complaint was cross-filed with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission ("EEOC"). Attached hereto, incorporated herein and marked as "Exhibit A" is a true and correct copy of the PHRC Complaint (with personal identifying information redacted).
 - 11. On or about June 10, 2021, the EEOC issued to Plaintiff a Notice of Right to Sue.

Attached hereto, incorporated herein and marked as "Exhibit B" is a true and correct copy of that notice.

12. Plaintiff has fully complied with all administrative prerequisites for the commencement of this action.

IV. FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 13. Plaintiff was employed by Defendant from on or about December 19, 2014 until on or about March 5, 2020.
- 14. Plaintiff consistently performed her job duties in a highly competent manner and received positive feedback.
- 15. Plaintiff last held the position of Infection Control, Quality Improvement, and Regulatory Compliance Manager.
- 16. In or about 2016, Plaintiff began reporting to Gregory Passanante (male), Chief Nursing Officer.
 - 17. Passanante subjected Plaintiff to egregious sexual harassment.
- 18. Passanante made numerous comments to or about Plaintiff of a sexual and sexist nature, including, without limitation:
 - a. Passanante told Plaintiff that one of his passwords was 69, a reference to the sexual act;
 - b. Passanante commented to Plaintiff that he did not want to touch her "nuts;"
 - Passanante told Plaintiff and other female employee that he wanted to hug them;
 - d. Passanante told Plaintiff that he had been accused of sexual harassment at another hospital where he previously worked;

- e. Passanante commented on female employees' and Plaintiff's physical appearance and clothes;
- f. Passanante stated that he was the "boss" and could have anything he wanted;
- g. Passanante commented than a female outside representative wore a dress that was too tight, that her "boobs were hanging out," and that she had big lips; and
- h. Passanante commented that that a Senior Registered Nurse looked "stuffed in her scrubs," and that she was "too big" to wear her scrubs.
- 19. Multiple employees told Plaintiff that Passanante hired Plaintiff because she is "pretty" and that he looked at her as "ditzy."
- 20. In addition to making sexist comments, Passanante treated female employees, including Plaintiff, in a more hostile, dismissive, and demeaning manner than he treated male employees, including, without limitation,
 - a. Passanante got angry at Plaintiff, slammed his hands against a desk, and said that this was his "fucking job" on the line;
 - b. Passanante interrupted Plaintiff during meetings;
 - c. Passanante belittled the work of Plaintiff and other female employees;
 - d. Passanante unjustly criticized the performance of Plaintiff and other female employees and did not criticize male employees whose performance was deficient;
 - e. Passanante called a female employee a "fucking idiot;" and
 - f. Passanante stated that he yelled at his mother and made her cry.
 - 21. Plaintiff found Passanante's comments and conduct to be offensive, based on sex,

and contributing to the hostile work environment to which Plaintiff was subjected.

- 22. In addition to Passanante's comments and conduct, he also refused to give Plaintiff an additional salary increase despite giving raises to male employees.
- 23. In or about 2018, when Plaintiff asked Passanante for a salary increase, he refused and told Plaintiff that she needed to get her master's degree first.
- 24. In or about May 2019, after Plaintiff had obtained her master's degree, Passanante nevertheless stated that Plaintiff did not deserve a raise and that she did not know how to manage money.
- 25. Plaintiff complained to Kimmel numerous times about Passanante's comments and conduct.
 - 26. Kimmel repeatedly failed to take corrective action.
- 27. Kimmel told Plaintiff that Passanante is sexist and does not like successful women and instructed Plaintiff not to challenge Passanante.
- 28. Kimmel instructed Plaintiff not to complain about Passanante, especially because Cynthia Farano (female), Chief Human Resources and Compliance Officer, would not take her side.
- 29. Other female employees told Plaintiff that Farano did not respect women and liked male employees better.
- 30. On or about January 15, 2020, in a meeting with Farano, Plaintiff complained of sex discrimination. Plaintiff complained of Passanante's sex-based comments, conduct, and hostility towards her.
 - 31. Farano instructed Plaintiff to be positive and look for another job.
 - 32. Defendant failed to remedy or prevent the sex discrimination to which Plaintiff

was subjected.

- 33. Defendant failed to investigate Plaintiff's sex discrimination complaints.
- 34. After Plaintiff complained of sex discrimination, Passanante was increasingly hostile and dismissive toward her, including, without limitation, ignoring Plaintiff, withholding work-related information, assigning Plaintiff's job duties to other employees, criticizing Plaintiff performance, and speaking over Plaintiff:
- 35. On or about January 17, 2020, in a meeting with Kimmel, Plaintiff complained of sex discrimination and retaliation.
- 36. On or about January 21, 2020, in a meeting with Passanante and Farano, Farano stated that she told Passanante about Plaintiff's meeting with her on January 15, 2020, wherein Plaintiff complained of sex discrimination.
- 37. Farano again stated that Plaintiff should look for another job and provided her with contact information for a recruiter. Passanante additionally stated that Plaintiff should look for another job.
- 38. On or about January 22, 2020, in a meeting with Farano, Plaintiff complained that Passanante was retaliating against Plaintiff. Plaintiff stated that she felt like Passanante was trying to push her out.
 - 39. Farano instructed Plaintiff to avoid Passanante and look for another job.
- 40. Defendant failed to remedy or prevent the sex discrimination and retaliation to which Plaintiff was subjected.
- 41. Defendant failed to investigate Plaintiff's sex discrimination and retaliation complaints.
 - 42. On or about March 5, 2020, in a meeting with Passanante and Farano, Defendant

terminated Plaintiff's employment, effective immediately.

- 43. The stated reason for Plaintiff's termination was position elimination.
- 44. Plaintiff was the only employee at Defendant whose position was allegedly eliminated effective March 5, 2020.
- 45. Defendant hired a new employee who assumed the majority of Plaintiff's job duties.
 - 46. Defendant's articulated explanation is a pretext.
- 47. Plaintiff's sex was a motivating and/or determinative factor in Defendant's treatment of Plaintiff, including failing to give her a salary increase and the termination of her employment.
- 48. Plaintiff's engaging in protected activity was a motivating and determinative factor in Defendant's treatment of Plaintiff, including the termination of her employment
- 49. Defendant subjected Plaintiff to a hostile work environment because of her sex and/or her complaints of discrimination and retaliation.
- 50. Defendant failed to prevent or address the discriminatory and retaliatory conduct referred to herein and further failed to take corrective and/or remedial measures to make the workplace free of discriminatory and retaliatory conduct.
- 51. Plaintiff was subjected to severe and/or pervasive conduct that interfered with her ability to perform her job duties and was not welcomed by Plaintiff, thereby creating a hostile work environment.
- 52. The conduct to which Plaintiff was subjected was so severe and/or pervasive that a reasonable person in Plaintiff's position would find the work environment to be hostile and/or abusive.

- 53. As a direct and proximate result of the discriminatory and retaliatory conduct of Defendant, Plaintiff has in the past incurred, and may in the future incur, a loss of earnings and/or earning capacity, loss of benefits, pain and suffering, embarrassment, humiliation, loss of self-esteem, mental anguish, and loss of life's pleasures, the full extent of which is not known at this time.
- 54. Plaintiff is now suffering and will continue to suffer irreparable injury and monetary damages as a result of the unlawful behavior complained of herein unless and until this Court grants the relief requested herein.
- 55. Plaintiff has incurred and is entitled to all costs and attorneys' fees incurred as a result of the unlawful behavior complained of herein.

COUNT I – TITLE VII

- 56. Plaintiff incorporates herein by reference the above paragraphs as if set forth herein in their entirety.
- 57. By committing the foregoing acts of discrimination, retaliation, and harassment against Plaintiff, Defendant violated Title VII.
- 58. Defendant acted willfully and intentionally, and with malice and/or reckless indifference to Plaintiff's protected rights, thereby warranting the imposition of punitive damages.
- 59. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's violation of Title VII, Plaintiff has suffered the damages and losses set forth herein and has incurred attorneys' fees and costs.
- 60. Plaintiff is now suffering and will continue to suffer irreparable injury and monetary damages as a result of Defendant's violations of Title VII unless and until this Court grants the relief requested herein.

8

61. No previous application has been made for the relief requested herein.

COUNT II - PHRA

- 62. Plaintiff incorporates herein by reference the above paragraphs as if set forth herein in their entirety.
- 63. By committing the foregoing acts of discrimination, retaliation, and harassment against Plaintiff, Defendant violated the PHRA.
- 64. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's violation of the PHRA, Plaintiff has sustained the injuries, damages, and losses set forth herein and has incurred attorneys' fees and costs.
- 65. Plaintiff is now suffering and will continue to suffer irreparable injury and monetary damages as a result of Defendant's violations of the PHRA unless and until this Court grants the relief requested herein.
 - 66. No previous application has been made for the relief requested herein.

COUNT III – PFPO

- 67. Plaintiff incorporates herein by reference the paragraphs above, as if set forth herein in their entirety.
- 68. By committing the foregoing acts of discrimination, retaliation, and harassment against Plaintiff, Defendant violated the PFPO.
 - 69. Said violations warrant the imposition of punitive damages.
- 70. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's violation of the PFPO, Plaintiff has sustained the injuries, damages, and losses set forth herein and has incurred attorneys' fees and costs.
 - 71. Plaintiff is now suffering and will continue to suffer irreparable injury and

monetary damages as a result of Defendant's violations of the PFPO unless and until this Court grants the relief requested herein.

72. No previous application has been made for the relief requested herein.

RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff seeks damages and legal and equitable relief in connection with Defendant's unlawful conduct, and specifically prays that this Court grant the following relief to Plaintiff by:

- (a) declaring the acts and practices complained of herein to be in violation of Title VII;
- (b) declaring the acts and practices complained of herein to be in violation of the PHRA;
- (c) declaring the acts and practices complained of herein to be in violation of the PFPO;
- (d) enjoining and permanently restraining the violations alleged herein;
- (e) entering judgment against Defendant and in favor of Plaintiff in an amount to be determined;
- (f) awarding damages to make Plaintiff whole for all lost earnings, earning capacity and benefits, past and future, which Plaintiff has suffered or may suffer as a result of Defendant's unlawful conduct;
- (g) awarding back pay and front pay;
- (h) awarding compensatory damages to Plaintiff for past and future pain and suffering, emotional upset, mental anguish, humiliation, and loss of life's pleasures, which Plaintiff has suffered or may suffer as a result of Defendant's unlawful conduct;
- (i) awarding punitive damages to Plaintiff;
- (j) awarding Plaintiff such other damages and relief as is appropriate under Title VII, the PHRA, and the PFPO;

- (k) awarding Plaintiff attorneys' fees;
- (1) awarding Plaintiff the costs of suit, expert fees and other disbursements; and
- (m)granting such other and further relief as this Court may deem just, proper, or equitable including other equitable and injunctive relief providing restitution for past violations and preventing future violations.

By:

CONSOLE MATTIACCI LAW, LLC

Dated: September 7, 2021

Lane J, Schiff, Esquire 1525 Locust St., 9th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19102 (215) 545-7676

Attorney for Plaintiff, Nicole Klein

EXHIBIT A

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA GOVERNOR'S OFFICE PENNSYLVANIA HUMAN RELATIONS COMMISSION

COMPLAINT

СОМР	LAINANT:	; ;				
NICO	LE KLEIN	: :	: Docket No.			
v.		: :				
RESPO	ONDENTS:	: :				
WILL	S EYE HOSPITAL	: :				
and		: :				
GREG	GORY PASSANANTE, aidei	and abettor :				
and		: :				
CYNTHIA FARANO, aider and abettor :						
1.	The Complainant herein is:					
	Name:	Nicole Klein				
	Address:	REDACTED Avalon, NJ 08202				
2.	The Respondents herein are:					
	Names:		ondent Entity"); Gregory or ("Respondent Passanante"); abettor ("Respondent Farano")			
	Address:	840 Walnut Street Philadelphia, PA 19107				

3. I, <u>Nicole Klein</u>, the Complainant herein, allege that I was subjected to unlawful discrimination because of my sex (female) and unlawful retaliation because of my complaints of sex discrimination, as set forth below.

Discrimination and Retaliation

A. I specifically allege:

- [1] I was hired by Respondent Entity on or about December 19, 2014.
- [2] I consistently performed my job duties in a highly competent manner, and received positive feedback.
- [3] I last held the position of Infection Control, Quality Improvement, and Regulatory Compliance Manager.
- [4] I last reported to Respondent Gregory Passanante (male), Chief Nursing Officer. Respondent Passanante reported to Joseph Bilson (male), Chief Executive Officer.
- [5] Respondent Passanante made comments to me of a sexual and sexist nature.
- [6] In a leadership staff meeting, Respondent Passanante stated that he had been accused of sexual harassment at another hospital where he was previously employed.
- [7] Respondent Passanante commented to REDACTED (female),
 Administrative Assistant, and me that one of his passwords was 69. I understood this to be a sexual reference.
- [8] Respondent Passanante commented to me that he did not want to touch my nuts.

- [9] Respondent Passanante commented on female employees' and my physical appearance and clothes. I never heard Respondent Passanante commenting on male employees' physical appearance or clothes.
- [10] Respondent Passanante commented that **REDACTED** (female), Outside Representative, wore a dress that was too tight, that her "boobs were hanging out," and that she had big lips.
- [11] Respondent Passanante commented that **REDACTED** (female), Senior Registered Nurse, looked "stuffed in her scrubs," and that she was "too big" to wear her scrubs.
- [12] Employees told me that Respondent Passanante hired me because I was "pretty" and "ditzy."
- [13] Employees told me that Respondent Passanante looked at me as a "ditzy" woman.
- [14] Swartz (female) and John Kimmel (male), Director of Nursing, told me that Respondent Passanante did not like to be challenged or told what to do by women.
- [15] Respondent Passanante yelled at **REDACTED** (female), Nurse, asking what was wrong with her and calling her "a fucking idiot." I never heard Respondent Passanante speak to a male employee in the same way.
- [16] Respondent Passanante told **REDACTED** (female), Project Manager, that he was her boss and he could have anything he wanted.
- [17] Respondent Passanante told REDACTED (female) that he yelled at his mom and made her cry.
 - [18] Respondent Passanante interrupted me during meetings.

- [19] Respondent Passanante belittled the work that female employees, including me, did.
- [20] Respondent Passanante treated female employees, including me, in a more hostile, dismissive, and demeaning manner than he treated male employees.
- [21] Respondent Passanante treated male employees more favorably than he treated female employees, including me.
- [22] Respondent Passanante unjustly criticized female employees, including me, and did not criticize male employees whose performance was deficient.
- [23] I found Respondent Passanante's comments and conduct to be offensive, based on sex, and contributing to the hostile work environment to which I was subjected.
- [24] When Respondent Passanante engaged in comments and conduct of a sexual and sexist nature, I objected.
- [25] In or about 2018, when I asked Respondent Passanante for a salary increase, he refused and told me that I needed to get my master's degree first.
- [26] In or about May 2019, after I had obtained my master's degree, Kimmel (male) told me that he had asked Respondent Passanante if I was now entitled to a raise, as I had obtained my master's degree and was Manager of three areas: Infection Control; Quality Improvement; and Regulatory Compliance. Kimmel told me that Respondent Passanante stated that I did not deserve a raise and that I did not know how to manage my money. Kimmel told me that Respondent Passanante took Kimmel and other male employees out for drinks and told them that he would get them more money.
 - [27] Respondents failed to give me a salary increase because of my sex.

- [28] Kimmel (male) told me that Respondent Passanante is sexist and does not like successful women, and instructed me not to challenge Respondent Passanante.
- [29] Kimmel (male) instructed me not to complain about Respondent

 Passanante, especially because Respondent Cynthia Farano (female), Chief Human Resources
 and Compliance Officer, would not take my side.
- [30] Female employees told me that Respondent Farano did not respect women and liked male employees better.
- [31] In or about the end of December 2019, Respondent Passanante came into my office and told me that he was going to give me a hug. I told him no, and refused his touch. Later that day, REDACTED (female) came into my office and told me that Respondent Passanante went into her office and hugged her. She stated that it made her feel uncomfortable.
- [32] In or about the end of December 2019, following the above, I complained to Kimmel (male) that Respondent Passanante tried to hug me in my office.
- [33] Respondent Entity failed to remedy or prevent the sex discrimination to which I was subjected.
 - [34] Respondent Entity failed to investigate my sex discrimination complaints.
- [35] On or about January 15, 2020, in a meeting with Respondent Farano, I complained of sex discrimination. I complained of Respondent Passanante's sex-based comments, conduct, and hostility toward me. Respondent Farano instructed me to be positive and look for another job.
- [36] Respondent Entity failed to remedy or prevent the sex discrimination to which I was subjected.
 - [37] Respondent Entity failed to investigate my sex discrimination complaints.

- [38] After I complained of sex discrimination, Respondent Passanante was increasingly hostile and dismissive toward me, and treated me differently and worse than before I had complained of sex discrimination and differently and worse than male and/or noncomplaining female employees.
 - [39] Respondent Passanante ignored me and avoided me.
- [40] Respondent Passanante withheld work-related information, support, and resources from me, and excluded me from meetings and communications necessary to do my job.
 - [41] Respondent Passanante unjustly criticized my performance.
 - [42] Respondent Passanante spoke over me in meetings.
- [43] Respondent Passanante circumvented me and assigned certain of my job duties and responsibilities to other employees.
- [44] On or about January 17, 2020, in a meeting with Kimmel (male), I complained of sex discrimination and retaliation. I complained of Respondent Passanante's sexbased comments and hostility toward me, and complained that Respondent Passanante's hostility toward me worsened after I complained about him to Respondent Farano.
- [45] On January 21, 2020, in a meeting with Respondent Passanante and Respondent Farano, Respondent Farano stated that she told Respondent Passanante about our meeting on January 15, 2020. Respondent Farano again stated that I should look for another job and provided me with contact information for a recruiter. Respondent Passanante also stated that I should look for another job.
- [46] On January 22, 2020, in a meeting with Respondent Farano, I complained that Respondent Passanante was retaliating against me because of my complaints by treating me

in an increasingly hostile manner, making it difficult for me to do my job, and unfairly criticizing my performance. I stated that I felt like Respondent Passanante was trying to push me out.

Respondent Farano instructed me to avoid Respondent Passanante and look for another job.

- [47] Respondent Entity failed to remedy or prevent the sex discrimination and retaliation to which I was subjected.
- [48] Respondent Entity failed to investigate my sex discrimination and retaliation complaints.
- [49] Antinucci (female) told me that she believed that Respondent Farano told Respondent Passanante about the sex discrimination complaint I made to Respondent Farano on January 15, 2020 and that Respondent Passanante was retaliating against me for it.
- [50] On March 5, 2020, in a meeting with Respondent Passanante and Respondent Farano, Respondent Entity terminated my employment, effective immediately.

 Before I had complained of sex discrimination, I had no indication my job was in jeopardy. The stated reason for my termination was position elimination.
- [51] Respondents terminated my employment because of my sex and/or my complaints of sex discrimination.
- [52] I was the only employee at Respondent Entity who was terminated effective March 5, 2020.
- [53] Respondents subjected me to a hostile work environment because of my sex and/or my complaints of sex discrimination.
- [54] Respondent Entity failed to remedy or prevent the sex discrimination and retaliation at Respondent Entity.
 - [55] I had no opportunity to remain employed with Respondent Entity.

- [56] Respondent Entity assigned my job duties to Vinit Awatramani (male), Human Resources Business Partner, John Knapp (male), Patient Safety Officer and Patient Registration Coordinator, Patricia Middaugh (female), Quality Project Manager, and Kimmel (male). I was more qualified to perform my job duties than the male and/or noncomplaining employees to whom Respondents assigned my job duties.
- [57] Respondents' sex discriminatory and retaliatory conduct and comments have caused me emotional distress.
- [58] Respondents' sex discriminatory and retaliatory conduct and comments constitute a continuing violation.
- [59] Respondents' comments and conduct evidence a bias against female and/or complaining employees.
- [60] Respondent Entity has an underrepresentation of female employees, particularly in high-level positions.
- [61] Respondent Passanante aided and abetted Respondent Entity in subjecting me to sex discrimination and retaliation.
- [62] Respondent Farano aided and abetted Respondent Entity in subjecting me to sex discrimination and retaliation.
- [63] I bring this Complaint as a class and pattern and practice Complaint on behalf of myself and any and all current or former employees of Respondents who are female, and have been discriminated against based on sex, in connection with the terms and conditions of their employment, including being subjected to a hostile work environment, failure to promote, compensation, and/or termination.

- **B.** Based on the aforementioned, I allege that Respondents have discriminated against me because of my sex (female) and retaliated against me because of my complaints of sex discrimination, in violation of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e, *et seq.* ("Title VII"), the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act, as amended, 43 P.S. § 951, *et seq.* ("PHRA"), and the Philadelphia Fair Practices Ordinance, Phila. Code § 9-1101, *et seq.* ("PFPO").
- 4. The allegations in Paragraph 3 hereof constitute unlawful discriminatory practices in violation of:

<u>X</u>	Pennsylvania Human Relations Act (Act of October 27, 1955, P.L.
744, as ar	nended) Section 5 Subsection(s): (a); (d); (e)
	Section 5.1 Subsection(s)
	Section 5.2 Subsection(s)
	Pennsylvania Fair Educational Opportunities Act (Act of July 17, 1961,
P.L. 766,	as amended) Section 4 Subsection(s)

- 5. Other action based upon the aforesaid allegations has been instituted by the Complainant in any court or before any other commission within the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania as follows:
 - X This charge will be referred to the EEOC for the purpose of dual filing.
 - 6. The Complainant seeks that Respondent be required to:
 - (a) Make the Complainant whole.
 - (b) Eliminate all unlawful discriminatory practice(s) and procedure(s).
 - (c) Remedy the discriminatory effect of past practice(s) and procedure(s).

- (d) Take further affirmative action necessary and appropriate to remedy the violation complained of herein.
- (e) Provide such further relief as the Commission deems necessary and appropriate.

VERIFICATION

I hereby verify that the statements contained in this complaint are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief. I understand that false statements herein are made subject to the penalties of 18 P.A.C.A. Section 4904, relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.

	N	we kleen	
(Date Signed)	(Signature)	Nicole Klein REDACTED	
		REDACTED Avalon NL08202	

EXHIBIT B

EEOC Form 161-B (11/2020)

U.S. EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION

NOTICE OF RIGHT TO SUE (ISSUED ON REQUEST)				
To: Nicole Klein REDACTED Avalon, NJ 08202	From:	Philadelphia District Office 801 Market Street Suite 1000 Philadelphia, PA 19107		
On behalf of person(s) aggrieved CONFIDENTIAL (29 CFR §1601.	•			
EEOC Charge No.	EEOC Representative	Telephone No.		
17F-2021-60011	Damon A. Johnson, State, Local & Tribal Program N	Manager (267) 589-9722		
177-2021-00011		the additional information enclosed with this form.)		
NOTICE TO THE PERSON AGGRIEVED:	(000 0100	the additional information entriesed with the forming		
Act (GINA): This is your Notice of Right to S been issued at your request. Your lawsuit u	Sue, issued under Title VII, the ADA or GINA inder Title VII, the ADA or GINA must be fil	or the Genetic Information Nondiscrimination A based on the above-numbered charge. It has led in a federal or state court WITHIN 90 DAYS The time limit for filing suit based on a claim under		
X More than 180 days have pas	sed since the filing of this charge.			
	sed since the filing of this charge, but I have istrative processing within 180 days from th	e determined that it is unlikely that the EEOC will e filing of this charge.		
X The EEOC is terminating its p	processing of this charge.			
The EEOC will continue to pro	ocess this charge.			
		ny time from 60 days after the charge was filed until egard, the paragraph marked below applies to		
		A must be filed in federal or state court <u>WITHIN</u> ased on the above-numbered charge will be lost.		
	andling of your ADEA case. However, if 60 state court under the ADEA at this time.	days have passed since the filing of the charge,		
	rs for willful violations) of the alleged EPA un-	charge is not required.) EPA suits must be brought derpayment. This means that backpay due for not be collectible.		
If you file suit, based on this charge, please s	send a copy of your court complaint to this off	fice.		
	On behalf of the Con	nmission		
	Dana R Vatta	June 10, 2021		
Enclosures(s)	Dana R. Hutter, Deputy Director	(Date Issued)		
cc: For Respondent		narging Party:		

Howard A. Rosenthal, Esq. Archer Law Via email: hrosenthal@archerlaw.com Lane J. Schiff, Esq.
Console Mattiacci Law, LLC
Via email: schiff@consolelaw.com